STATE OF ILLINOIS ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

Ameren Transmission Company of Illinois	}	
	}	
Petition for a Certificate of Public Convenience	}	
and Necessity, pursuant to Section 8-406.1 of	}	
the Illinois Public Utilities Act, and an Order	}	
pursuant to Section 8-503 of the Public Utilities	}	Case No.: 12-0598
Act, to Construct, Operate and Maintain a New	}	
High Voltage Electric Service Line and Related	}	
Facilities in the Counties of Adams, Brown, Cass,	}	
Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Edgar,	}	
Fulton, Macon, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie,	}	
Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, and Shelby,	}	
Illinois.	}	

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY ON REHEARING

OF

STEVEN J. LAZORCHAK, P.E., CEM

Intervenor MSSCLPG Exhibit 13.0

Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.
A.	Steven J. Lazorchak. 15322 Buckley Road, Marion, Illinois 62959.
Q.	DID YOU PREVIOUSLY OFFER DIRECT TESTIMONY ON REHEARING IN THIS
	CASE WHICH WAS MARKED AS INTERVENOR MSSCLPG EXHIBIT 12.0?
A.	Yes I did.
Q.	HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE DIRECT TESTIMONY ON REHEARING OF
	JEFFREY V. HACKMAN WHICH WAS MARKED AS ATXI EXHIBIT 2.0 (RH)?
A.	Yes I have. I have also reviewed Mr. Hackman's rebuttal testimony which was submitted
	during the initial phase of this proceeding.
Q.	FROM AN ENGINEERING PERSPECTIVE, AFTER REVIEWING THE
	TESTIMONY OF MR. HACKMAN WHICH WAS DESCRIBED ABOVE, HAVE
	YOU BEEN ABLE TO IDENTIFY ANY JUSTIFICATION FOR THE
	EXPENDITURE OF APPROXIMATELY \$36.78 MILLION IN ADDITIONAL
	CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR THE REBUTTAL RECOMMENDED ROUTE AS
	OPPOSED TO THE MSCLTF ROUTE?

- 17 A. No. I can find no such justification from an engineering perspective.
- 18 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 19 A. Yes, it does.